

What's so special about metal halide perovskites?

David Cahen^{*}

Dept. of Materials and Interfaces, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100

We* scrutinized some of the apparent inconsistencies in these remarkable optoelectronic materials, such as high lifetimes *cum* modest mobilities, low temperature preparation *cum* low defect density, apparently flexible inorganic lattice *cum* very sharp diffraction peaks.¹

To start understanding these property combinations one first needs realize that the halide perovskites, HaPs *should be compared much more with inorganic than with organic semiconductors* and that *concepts from organic photovoltaic (PV) or dye-sensitized solar cells are often inapplicable*. This is reflected in the similarities that we find between CsPbBr₃ and CH₃NH₃PbBr₃.²

In addition statements like they are “GaAs on the cheap”, while true in some aspects, also cannot be defended as a general truth. An important factor is that electron-lattice coupling mechanisms are active at room temperature, and very likely dominate carrier scattering,¹ which connects to the materials' elasto-mechanical properties.³

Another issue, often invoked to explain hysteresis in the current-voltage characteristics of PV cells, and to rationalize the remarkably low recombination rate of photogenerated carriers (and, thus, high V_{OC}/E_G) in esp. the methyl-ammonium and formamidinium Pb(II) tri-iodide and the methyl-ammonium or Cs Pb(II) tri-iodide, is ferroelectricity. However, direct evidence for bulk ferroelectricity, with voltage-induced reversible switching is absent or tenuous. Thus, the obvious thing is to look for it, which is what we (with the Lubomirsky, Ehre and Meirzadeh) did, using the periodic temperature change (Chynoweth) technique to see if methylammonium lead bromide (MAPbBr₃) is pyroelectric. We chose as the first material to try the bromide HaP, rather than the iodide, to avoid complications due to phase transitions. We find that MAPbBr₃ is non-polar, and, thus, cannot be ferroelectric. Whenever signs for polarity were detected, they could be shown to be due to trapped

charges, likely at the interface between the metal electrode and the MAPbBr₃ semiconductor. Thus, ferroelectric effects cannot affect steady-state performance of MAPbBr₃ solar cells. To further check this we measured second harmonic generation signals in MAPbBr₃, MAPbI₃ and CsPbBr₃ and found a clear signal for the MAPbI₃, but not for the other two. This shows that the iodide is non-centrosymmetric, a first condition for ferroelectricity. Experiments to test it for pyroelectricity are in progress.

If time permits I will present results on

- understanding the cause(s) for the current-voltage hysteresis (with U of Oxford);
- stability of HaPs esp. under conditions often used for their characterization with electro-magnetic radiation, electron and ion beams, with some emphasis on Cs vs. other HaPs;
- changes in their properties as function of ambient environment and the implications for their electronic transport behavior.⁴

* with **Gary Hodes**

and Cahen –Hodes group members T. M. Brenner, N. Kedem, S. Gupta; M. Kulbak, I. Levine, Y. Rakita, A Zohar; I. Lubomirski, D. Ehre and E. Meirzadeh; D. A. Egger and L. Kronik (WIS, M&I Dept.); S. Cohen, T. Bendikov (WIS unit of Surface Science); A. Kahn & group (Princeton U); P. K. Nayak, H. Snaith & group (Oxford U); A. M. Rappe (UPenn).

Support: Weizmann Institute of Science via its Alternative sustainable Energy Research Initiative (AERI); Israel Ministry of Science, Israel National Nano-initiative

References

- ¹ T. Brenner *et al.* [doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02390](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02390) *J. Phys.Chem.Lett.* 23 (2015) 4754–4757; [doi:10.1038/natrevmats.2015.07](https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2015.07) *Nat. Mater. Rev.* 1 (2016)
- ² M. Kulbak *et al.* (2015) [DOI:10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b00968](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b00968) *J. Phys.Chem.Lett.* 6 (2015) 2452–2456 [doi:10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02597](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02597) *J. Phys.Chem.Lett.* 7 (2016) 167-172
- ³ Y. Rakita, *et al.* [doi:10.1557/mrc.2015.69](https://doi.org/10.1557/mrc.2015.69) *MRS Comm.* 5, (2015) 623-629
- ⁴ A. Zohar, *et al.* [10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02618](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcclett.5b02618) *J. Phys.Chem.Lett.* 7 (2016) 191-197

Abstract date: Jan. 30, 2016